

United States Patent and Trademark Office

gle

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO |). | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|------------------------|---------|---------------|----------------------|------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/615,084 | - | 07/07/2003 | Salvatore Lombardo | 856063.547D1 | 7985 | |
| 500 | 7590 | 02/14/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | | TUAL PROPERTY | SEFER, AHMED N | | | |
| 701 FIFTH SUITE 630 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | | |
| SEATTLE | , WA 98 | 104-7092 | 2826 | | | |
| | | | | DATE MAILED: 02/14/200 | 6 | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| Application Number | Application/Control No. | | Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination | | |
|--|--|--|--|---|--|
| | 10/615,084 | | LOMBARDO ET AL. | | |
| 1 (1881)); (1811) 831) 8 81) 81 11 181 181) 80) 181) 81) 181) | Nathan J. Flyn | n | 2826 | | |
| Document Code - AP.PRE. | DEC | | | | |
| Notice of Panel De | ecision fro | m Pre- | Appeal Brief | Review | |
| This is in response to the Pre-Appeal Br | ief Request for F | Review filed 9- | -19-05 . | | |
| Improper Request – The Request reason(s): | uest is improper | and a confer | ence will not be held fo | or the following | |
| ☐ The Notice of Appeal has not appeal has not included. ☐ A proposed amendment is in ☐ Other: | e reasons why a | review is app | propriate. | quest. | |
| The time period for filing a response the mail date of the last Office comm | | | | | |
| 2. Proceed to Board of Patent A held. The application remains under is required to submit an appeal brief brief will be reset to be one month frunning from the receipt of the notice appeal brief is extendible under 37 C of the notice of appeal, as applicable | appeal because in accordance wom mailing this confusion of appeal, which is the confusion of the confusion o | e there is at leavith 37 CFR 4 decision, or the chever is grea | ast one actual issue fo 1.37. The time period t e balance of the two-m ter. Further, the time p | r appeal. Applicant for filing an appeal nonth time period eriod for filing of the | |
| ☑ The panel has determined Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-18. Claim(s) withdrawn from considerations. | | claim(s) is as | follows: | | |
| 3. Allowable application – A co Allowance will be mailed. Prosecution applicant at this time. | | | | | |
| 4. ☐ Reopen Prosecution – A con action will be mailed. No further action | | | | nd a new Office | |
| All participants: | | | | | |
| (1) Nathan J. Flynn. | | (3) <u>Ahmed</u> | d Sefer. | | |
| (2) Art Grimley. | | (4) | (4) | | |